

JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION)		
	Michèle Patrão Forsythe Family Court Judge Ninth Judicial Circuit County of Charleston)) AFFIDAVÎT OF)) LEE CARLTON WALKER
		}

Personally, appeared before me, Lee Carlton Walker, who being duty sworn does depose and say that he has personal knowledge of the items set forth herein, except those items which are stated to be upon information and belief, which the affiant believes to be true. Lee Carlton Walker, states as follows:

I WILL APPEAR to testify concerning the qualifications of the above-named candidate and will produce all documents in my possession, if any, which will further develop or corroborate my testimony.

I Understand that this written statement and ALL supporting documentation, if any must be completed and the hard copies of ALL such documents shall be returned to the Judicial Merit Selection Commission by the deadline for complaints in order for the Commission to hear my testimony, and that the deadline for complaints is 12:00 Noon, Monday, November 1, 2021. I understand I must be, and I will be available to testify at a Public Hearing, and <u>failure to appear</u> will result in a dismissal of my complaint.

In regard to my intended testimony, I will offer information as to the Following:

I am Lee Carlton Walker, 51 years old, I live at 8784 Auburn Drive, North Charleston, SC 29406. My work and home phone number is the same 843-478-5980. My email address is

Jun

lcarltonwalker@hotmail.com. I am a Licensed Realtor, a Licensed Contractor and I have my Concealed Weapons Permit which are ALL in good standing in the State of South Carolina.

1 Set Forth the Names of: James J. Walker Jr. and Helen Riley Walker of 639 McCutchen Street, Charleston, SC 29412, 843-795-6428 (H) 843-810-3151(C), Deborah Riley Pace of 246 Alston Circle, Goose Creek, SC 29445, 843-822-2189, Vincent Buckey Process Server, VPS Solutions, LLC PO Box 50813, Summerville, SC 29485, vpsprocess@gmail.com 843-817-3886 and my Attorney SC House Representative District 94 Mr.Gil Gatch, 420 Old Trolley Road Summerville, SC 29485, gil@gilgatchlaw.com 843-800-2020(o) 843-499-1222 who ALL have knowledge of the facts concerning my testimony and the actions of Judge Michele Patrao Forsythe. I would also respectfully ask the Commission to please copy my Attorney Mr. Gil Gatch on ALL correspondences and notices of hearings so I can be present and represented with this complaint as he is my lawyer in this matter. Thank you.

The nature of my testimony comes with Great Regret that I must bring this before the Judicial Merit Selection Commission as a citizen of the Great State of South Carolina. As a 4th generation veteran who fought in Somalia in 1993, fighting and defending the Freedoms of this State and of the United States of America, that I am drawn to the necessity to file this complaint with the Judicial Merit Selection Commission against a Judge in our state. Based on my convictions and upbringing, I believe Judge Forsythe has broken the Rights and Freedoms I swore a lifetime oath to defend. My forefathers have fought in Vietnam, WW2, WW1, and on my Mother's side of the family my forefathers fought during the Civil War and the Revolutionary War which started the fight for Freedom. I mention this family history not to impress you, but to impress upon you the seriousness I take and have taken with my life in defending Fairness and Freedom. Again, it is with Great Regret that I feel these rights and freedoms have been violated Jan J by a Judge in our Great State, that I must bring this to your attention for the Citizens of the Great State Of South Carolina and the United States of America by reporting to the Judicial Merit Selection Commission the actions of Judge Michele Patrao Forsythe.

I will give specific facts relating to the Re-Election Candidate Michele Patrao Forsythe to the character, competency, ethics, and including all of the believed allegations of wrongdoing or misconduct on part of Michele Patrao Forsythe acting as a Family Court Judge in Charleston County. I will point out the Judicial Codes and case law, that I believe as a Lay Person, to which the standard of the appropriate and inappropriate actions of Judges in this state are to be held to, and laws to uphold and not to break. All these Laws are designed to "Protect the Public" of which I believe Judge Forsythe has violated. My Attorney Mr. Gil Gatch gives a professional view of these allegations in the 2 Appeals with Briefs and the 2 Supersedeases he has file for this case.

The Alleged Violations are based on information and belief and are **BULLET POINTED** as follows:

- Please pull-out EXHIBIT #8 Order April 7, 2021, Mr. Walker's notes from <u>June 15</u>,
 <u>2021</u>, <u>Supersedeas</u> and follow along for quick reference through the Bullet Points.
- Aug 10, 2018, No Alleged Violation, Information, and belief of first known time Judge
 Forsythe Ruled on this case with Lee Carlton Walker. (SEE EXHIBIT #7-F-1)
- February 5, 2019, No Alleged Violation, this Order is used to lay the groundwork that
 Judge Forsythe knew Case Law [Stefan v. Stefan, 320 S.C. 419, 422,465 S.E.2d 734,
 736(Ctt. App. 1995)] (SEE EXHIBIT #7-G)
- March 25, 2020, Alleged Violation JUDICIAL CODE RULE 501 CANON 1 (A),
 CANON 2 (A), Rule 60 Final Merits Hearing Order of Defendant's Motion For

- Constitution 5th and 14th Amendments. Judge Forsythe denied my simple opportunity to be heard for the best interest of our daughter and by doing so Judge Forsythe denied me life with my daughter, the chance to regain my custody and to protect our child from known substance abusers and one with a record of multiple domestic violent convictions. One only has to look at the filing Feb 8, 2021, which has been provided and you may think an attorney compiled it, but yet it was this Pro Se Father with all of the appropriate pages to be accepted and heard. (SEE EXHIBIT #14: Notice of Motion page 2 #8, page 3 #9 & #10, Plaintiff's Affidavit page 2 #5 & #6, Page 5 #9, Page 6 #20, #21)
- April 2, 2021, Alleged Violation JUDICIAL CODE RULE 501 CANON 3 B (8), The US Constitution 5th and 14th Amendments, SC Judicial Merit Selection Commission Policies and Procedures Page 7, #9. I filed a Notice of Motion and Motion for Emergency and Exparte Relief, and Expedited Writ of Superseadeas Relief, Rule 241, starting on page 5 complaining about Judge Ferderigos's Order Feb 12, 2021 which his Order violated Stefan v. Stefan, 320 S.C. 419, 422,465 S.E.2d 734, 736(Ctt. App. 1995)

of which Judge Forsythe had already signed an Order on February 5, 2019, 2 years prior enforcing this Case Law "Stefan vs Stefan" and on 3 of 4 Judge Forsythe hand wrote the Case Law in it. Two proposed violations of Law were done with this April 2, 2021 filing of a "Notice of Motion and Motion for Emergency and Ex Parte Relief, and Expedited Writ of Supersedeas Relief." One is Judge Forsythe Violated the basic Due Process right to be heard and two she Violated SC Judicial Code to promptly handle cases. She did not give an answer to this "Emergency Exparte request until after sending complaints to the Charleston County Delegation on April 15, 2021 and giving the Family Court a copy. Then Judge Forsythe 20 days later after filing the Emergency hearing on April 2, 2021 Denied it on April 22, 2021 again denying this father from being heard. This is dilatory by definition against Cannon 3 B (8) per SC Judicial Conduct. On page 7 of that Emergency request is the mention and description of Judge Ferderigos's violation of Stefan vs Stefan and it is also what my current attorney Mr. Gil Gatch has filed on Appeal and another Supersedeas Filed. This Order Written by Judge Ferderigos is clearly a violation of Case Law and for some reason Judge Forsythe knowing the violation ignored it and still to this day this father has been Denied the right to be heard to overturn such an unlawful Order against Case Law by Judge Ferderigos. Also Judge Forsythe gave the Charleston County Clerks instructions not to accept my money to be heard on anything without her approval. See Page 6 of June 16, 2021, transcript. They also denied a professional service VPS SOLUTIONS, LLC Mr. Vincent Buckey from paying the Court to accept and file my documents and Mr. Vincent Buckey can testify to this. This was all done by the direction of Judge Forsythe to this 4th generation veteran. (SEE Exhibit #15)(SEE June 16, 2021

April 7, 2021, Hearing Transcript, Alleged Violation, Judicial Merit Selection Commission Policies and Procedures Page 7, #9. I believe if the Judicial Merit Selection Commission reads this Court Transcript there is Conduct by Judge Forsythe that is disrespectful, arrogant, impatient and the ruling from this is arbitrary and based on hearsay. "These are my rules and this is my Court and these are my guidelines." Page 5 line 10-12. This is just the start and as a lay public person I believe the Court is the People's Court and the Rules and Guidelines to follow are set by the People through our Representatives. I believe that one statement shows Judge Forsythe's arrogant temperament. Page 7-line 13-14 Judge Forsythe, "You can't have a final hearing on a Rule 60." I believe this goes against Judge Forsythe competence because Judge Forsythe wrote the "Feb 5, 2019, Order" to come back for a Final Merits Hearing on the Rule 60, and then writes in the "April 7, 2021, Order" that we did not return for relief when we did but in Court says you can't have a final hearing on a Rule 60. I am confused as a lay person. Then on page 8 Line 22 Judge Forsythe admits she saw that everyone has lied about me. Judge Forsythe "I saw that, too." It is unfathomable for a Court in Our Great State of South Carolina to "Admit" to seeing wrongdoing and to do nothing about it. This one statement if made Public in print or television would destroy any confidence in this Family Court System by a public citizen. Then on page 9, I say it is in an affidavit line 15 and Line 17 Judge Forsythe says, "I understand that, but do you understand that affidavits are not considered evidence?" I mention next, "I did not know that, but I thought is when you present the Court with an affidavit in a sworn statement and your statement is a false statement to the Court, I believe that is perjury and you should be held in contempt of Court. And this Court from any experience has not been holding Ms. McAdams or any

of these people in contempt for lying about me. I have a good name. I got elected for the third time to Charleston County Deer Park 1(H)A committee man. I am a licensed Realtor. I am a Licensed Contractor." Then if you keep reading, Judge Forsythe starts claiming things make me look unstable. I would like this Judicial Merit Selection Commission to read the February 8, 2021, request that was Denied by Judge Forsythe on February 9, 2021 without a hearing to determine if her ruling was in the best interest of our minor child. I was asking for my biological father and the maternal grandmother who has a long record of violence and multiple DUI's not to be left unsupervised with our child. Since this "Denial" by Judge Forsythe as of this summer of 2021 the maternal grandmother has a recent conviction of shoplifting. My fear is that something like this will happen because our daughter's mother is leaving our child with the maternal grandmother for baby-sitting. I cannot imagine if a crime is committed while sitting our child and our child would be involved with the Police during a crime. I do not believe anyone would want their child left unsupervised with my biological father or the maternal grandmother knowing that they both have these problems that still exist as recent as this past summer after this denial of my basic right to be heard. Family Court Judges' most important job is to protect minors, and ALL LAWS ARE WRITTEN TO PROTECT THE PUBLIC. This is proof of incompetency in my eyes by Judge Forsythe, and I believe NO ONE in the Public with a reasonable mind would disagree, correct me if I may be wrong. I do not want our daughter growing up to be a criminal, violent, substance abuser or an alcoholic. Yet Judge Forsythe put me on Supervised Visitation ONLY, which cost me almost \$1,000 a month just to talk on the phone (OR) see our child in person, yet it is okay by Judge Forsythe's Judgements for our daughter to be left unsupervised with known violent offenders and criminals with

drug or alcohol problems. I just passed my CTAR Broker's Exam with a 94% on the SC State Laws and an 81% on the Federal Laws, and on October 29, 2021 I was fingerprinted for a Federal and SLED updated background check, to get my Real Estate Broker's License. I also am Licensed by SLED to have my Concealed Weapons Permit and was elected for the 3rd time as Committeeman. I say this not to impress the Judicial Merit Selection Commission, but to show the Commission the contrast in Judgement by Judge Forsythe. Judges are also barred by SC Judicial Code from running up cost of cases, therefore by Judge Forsythe denying and delaying my simple right to be heard on the violation of Stefan vs Stefan my family has incurred great cost in hiring Mr. Gil Gatch to overturn Judge Forsythe's actions by Appeal and Supersedeas literally filed for the exact same topic I filed as a Pro Se Father asking for just the simple request to be heard on my very relevant issues. I know it is written in the SC Judicial Code RULE 501 Cannon 3 B (8) Commentary that states, "In disposing of matters promptly, efficiently and fairly, a judge must demonstrate due regard for the rights of the parties to be heard and to have issues resolved without unnecessary cost or delay. Containing costs while preserving fundamental rights of parties also protects the interests of witnesses and the general public. A judge should monitor and supervise cases so as to reduce or eliminate dilatory practices, avoidable delays and unnecessary costs. A judge should encourage and seek to facilitate settlement, but parties should not feel coerced into surrendering the right to have their controversy resolved by the courts" and this is a clear example of how Judge Forsythe has cause irreparable harm to my entire family. There must be a civil recourse for Judge Forsythe's actions to restore the damage this Judge has caused my family.

- On numerous occasions Judge Forsythe has fined this father large sums of money when the
 Court favored the father in a clarification hearing in May 2021, when both parties were
 confused about this Judge's Order from the April 7, 2021, hearing.
- Exparte Communication outside of Court is believed based on information and belief that
 both parties were confused on Judge Forsythe's Order because is did not match what Judge
 Forsythe said on the record compared to her Order. Based on the defendant and GAL filing
 for clarification against this father one can only assume there was Exparte Communication
 outside of the Courtroom with the Judge for this to be written.
- It is believed that Judge Forsythe ignored the facts of this case and the Supreme Court case law governing the award of Suit Money, when the case law governing suit money evidence was in the father's favor. Yet the facts show Judge Forsythe ignored case law, the facts and violated due process with this arbitrary decision as she was walking out of Court. She was asked by the Defendant's Attorney who has since been Disbarred, "Your Honor, the motion for suit money. I don't know what you..." Judge Forsythe, "I'm going to grant you that motion. All right thank you all. We are adjourned." That was a \$30,000 grant when this Pro Se Father could not even pay his own lawyers which is one of the Case Law factors. Mr. Gil Gatch covers these alleged violations in detail in his Appeal Brief that is filed.
- Also Judge Forsythe violated my Constitutional right to Freedom of Speech and ignored
 the Supreme Court's strict Case Law on how to restrict speech and when, by Ordering I
 remove all things to do with my daughter from my Facebook pages and YouTube pages.
 Likely of lose all memories of her from birth until now. I currently have a Rule to Show
 Cause hanging over my head with the threat of going to jail and this is part of Mr. Gil

Gatch's Supersedeas and Appeal to the Supreme Court. Imagine the stress of jail time over being forced to do this. We are currently waiting on a Nov 16, 2021 hearing on these Rules and my fear is I might be jailed and not be able to come before this commission because Judge Forsythe got this complaint before my hearing in Charleston County Family Court and I am Jailed unable to testify before this Commission and thus all of this complaint is dropped due to me not being able to be present so that is why I ask this Commission to please include my Attorney Mr. Gil Gatch on ALL communications so if this happens I can be brought before this commission to testify regardless of my possible situation from the Nov. 16, 2021 hearing where the Defendant's attorney is asking that I be jailed for criminal contempt for this violation of my Freedom of Speech.

- Judge Forsythe not following SC Law when Judges are to have Orders written within 30
 days and she did not issue the April 7, 2021 Order until 41 days after, which is a violation
 of the SC Court LAW of not being dilatory.
- Forsythe broke one of the ten commandments multiple times of "Do not bare false witness" and these false facts are written in her April 7, 2021 Order. Myself as a Licensed Realtor in South Carolina, if I did this to a Customer or Client in Court either oral and especially written, like this Judicial Merit Selection Commission, THE South Carolina Real Estate Commission with SCLLR would take my license to ever practice Real Estate ever again away, and no one could blame the Commission for rightfully doing so. It would be a serious violation of the "Code of Ethics" and of protecting the public's interest in real estate we as Realtor's are held to. Please read below and ALL the supporting Documents that are on the 8 memory sticks that I provided the Commission to review at their leisure.

Before I cover the April 7, 2021 Order in detail I would Like to give an Introduction of the Case

First, I would like to give you a quick history on this case, that was negatively affected by what I believe to be my allegations of Judge Forsythe's misconduct. This case started in the Summer of 2010 when our daughter was just over 3 months old, and her mother committed Criminal Domestic Violence against myself when she tried choking me and I called 911. When the Police got to the location, they noticed my neck and when I looked in the mirror in my entry hall, I noticed I had scratches with blood coming from one of the marks, at that moment they arrested our daughter's mother against my objections. In April 2012 our case was settled, and we had a true 50/50 custody with neither parent with more authority than the other. The reason for this settlement is because based on my experience of not having my biological father in my life from age 5 with no contact with my father until I was 21 with a phone call before I joined the US Navy, and then no contact until meeting my father for the first time when I was 25 years old from age 5 years old in 1975. Not to give my biological father an excuse for not being in my life, but his service to this county in Vietnam as a Lieutenant in the US Army as a Helicopter Pilot caused him severe mental issues of PTSD. He was decorated with the Silver Star, Bronze Star and Purple Heart and is still deserving of the Distinguished Flying Cross for his service. The reason I explain my biological father's sacrifice in such detail for this Commission, is because of his service he has severe PTSD, and it negatively affected my life and childhood. I can only say that because of growing up without my father, it has molded my beliefs and firsthand sacrifices into the lifetime Oath I have made and that every Soldier and Sailor takes in defending the Constitution of the United States from both foreign and domestic enemies.

I was lucky enough for my mother to marry a Citadel Graduate of 1969, who without his positive influence and guidance in my life, I would not be the man or person I am today. I would have never had the privilege without him of going to Camden Military Academy 1986-1990. Then I joined the US Navy. I mention my stepfather James J. Walker, Jr. who I call my "Dad," he adopted me in 1977, and I took his sir name when I was age seven. He is the most selfless serving man I have ever had the privilege to know in my life. Not to boast to this Commission of this Citadel Grad because he is very humble, but he still to this day travels the county playing live taps for our fallen military men and women for FREE. On Memorial Day 2008 the Charleston News Paper had him on the cover for Bugler's Across America. My Dad even played when the Submarine Hunley was raised in Charleston on national TV. He currently has cancer as of November 2020 and has been given limited time left by his doctors. After working 36 years of Civil Service as an Electrical Engineer for our County, I don't know what I would do without him mortgaging his home, draining his retirement and selling interest in his family farm to fight to overturn this Judge Forsythe's wrongful actions. I would have lost my daughter forever based on Judge Forsythe's actions and would be in jail. Like I am certain so many before me have been treated who do not have selfless help, like my Dad. I know this because Judge Forsythe even mentions another father who she is going to put in jail during one of my hearings. I do not know what I would do, or my family would do. I am informing this Commission of this information about my "Dad" so the Commission will know how a Judge's wrongful actions can harm a good family. One day my daughter will know what her Papa did for her future. I just hope and pray this can be overturned soon for her sake before he is gone.

When my daughter was born February 24, 2010, I made the commitment <u>NEVER</u> to leave our daughter no matter what like my father left me, and to always be a <u>FULL-TIME FATHER</u>

no matter what the situation is and always fight for her brightest future possible, like my stepfather gave me and is still doing today by his selfless actions with his actions of mortgaging his home, draining his retirement and selling interest in the family farm to overturn this Judge Forsythe's wrongful actions.

My childhood experiences went into my decision and good heartedness not to take any more than 50/50 custody from our daughter's mother due to the CDV when I had a chance to take more. I wanted our daughter to have the best possible experience with both parents in her life. I also paid for our daughter's mother to get an apartment on Daniel Island, SC in I believe 2012 or 2013 due to the great school district and the quality of people who live in that area of Charleston. Our daughter attended Daniel Island School from K5-5th grade. I wanted the best education for our daughter and still do.

Now that you know the quick back story, please take the time to read the next 29 pages in detail which will describe how Judge Forsythe gave written false witness and prejudice against this Pro Se Father at the time, in signed Court Orders not based on the Finding of Fact per Law. Even if Judge Forsythe signed an Order that a clerk or someone else wrote, I do not believe this is acceptable or excusable.

I know that if this Commission removes Judge Forsythe during this re-election when she is unchallenged it will be unprecedented. I hope this commission sets a precedent for all Judges concerning their actions. In the military you only get <u>ONE</u> mistake to ruin a life, or ruin a ship like the USS WASP as my beloved Captain in the US NAVY did in 1993, and your CAREER IS OVER! When you are given the great responsibility in overseeing and commanding 4,500 lives in the military, you are only given <u>ONE</u> mistake with putting these lives in danger or even worse and your life's career is over. I believe that in our Court system it should be the same, a Judge should

only be given <u>ONE</u> mistake on ruining lives and destroy a Citadel Grad's life's work. This bearing of written false witness by a Family Court Judge against a Pro Se Father should not be acceptable under <u>ANY</u> circumstances in my lay opinion.

I would also like this Commission to know that Judge Forsythe was <u>REMOVED</u> by the Honorable Charleston County Chief Administrative Judge from <u>EVER</u> hearing my case again. I am thankful for Mr. Gil Gatch having Judge Forsythe removed by the Honorable Charleston County Chief Administrative Judge. Therefore, I am asking for the **Judicial Merit Selection**Commission's help in the hopes and prayers that this Judge Forsythe will never have the opportunity to do this to another citizen or family ever again and will be <u>REMOVED</u> from being a Family Court Judge in the Great State of South Carolina.

After Reading this affidavit below which will include the 29 pages that I submitted to the South Carolina Appeal's Court as a Pro Se Father, which will give the Commission most of the alleged wrongdoing, I will cover a few pages from the June 16, 2021 Hearing. After that I am supplying the Commission with ALL documents as requested per the "Example Witness Affidavit Form" that I was supplied for me to follow which, it requires I give the Commission ALL such documents. I will give the Commission ALL Exhibits filed with the following 29 pages on memory sticks, if the Commission finds a need to review them to verify the following affidavit, I will also supply both hearing Transcripts from April 7, 2021 and June 16, 2021, the Appeals and Supersedeas's filed by my Attorney Mr. Gil Gatch which are supplied to review the opinion from a Legal Viewpoint of this Judge Forsythe's actions.

I prepared this affidavit myself and read the laws and case laws in preparing this which you are about to read; I did this to the best of my knowledge as a lay person could do as a Pro Se Father, not having any legal background myself and it is my belief that below can be verified as

Milliam E Hopkins, Jr who was the Attorney mentioned below who filed most of the false claims was <u>Disbarred</u> this year 2021 by the South Carolina Office of Disciplinary Counsel's investigation. I even pointed out to Judge Forsythe the lack of Candor by Mr. Hopkins to the Court. It was ignored and again, it is with <u>Great Regret</u> that I must submit and point out the following to this Judicial Merit Selection Commission: ALL EXHIBITS ARE ON THE MEMORY STICKS given to the Judicial Merit Selection Commission.

Affidavit copy of what was presented to the Court of Appeals Concerning Judge Forsythe

the Order First and how it contains illegalities per Rule 501 of Judicial Code. I believe the objective test is met if a disinterested person with a reasonable mind were to read this Order from April 7, 2021, hearing and compare the Court transcript from the hearing, they would see the partiality of this Judge Forsythe. Based on this test the Judge Forsythe should be relieved from this case. To answer the question to partiality one must read the Court Transcript (SEE EXHIBIT #2) and compare it to the Order and the Pertinent Orders to this Case. Also compare Judge Forsythe's April 7, 2021 Order and compare her version of the Actual Order April 5, 2021 of Judge Ferderigos' Denial of ALL the GAL's request and his concern for the child not having visitation with her Father. It is with information and belief that he finally saw a Doctor's affidavit in direct conflict of this Guardian's misleading and false claims. Breaking the Law of SC Code of Laws Family Court 63-3-830 (A)(4) "The guardian must provide accurate, current information directly to the court." Judge Ferderigos saw that the Doctor's affidavit negated his paragraph 10 of his Order Feb. 12, 2021. If you were a reasonable person who was not interested

in this case, you would without question see the multiple false statements, blows off fabrications in Court and how this Judge is partial towards the defendant, she knows the defendant's Attorney and where he lives. She also knows how many cases, she has had with this Guardian Sharon Lovette. To them I believe I am just another little Pro Se Father who cannot afford to defend myself, so who is to stop them? I am not an attorney so please read my entire affidavit and I have included what I believe to be truly relevant matters to this case and the laws I could find that are in violation. I am hoping the appropriate authorities will get involved and help me undo this injustice and this miscarriage of justice that has been carried out against me. If I am forced to go back before this Judge Forsythe on June 16, 2021 my fear is that because of her Order being as it is there is no way for me to remedy all of it and I know the other parties will not use candor and my worst fear is an innocent man myself might be put in jail by this Judge based on this Order April 7, 2021. I am hoping someone will listen and stop the irreparable damage of continuing to enjoin our daughter from her Father since October 30, 2020 and now it is about to be the first Father's day without me seeing our Daughter. Thornton v. Arnold, 274 S.C. 1, 260 S. E. 2d 179 (1979). I pray the Appeals Court will Supersedeas these 2 Orders and Restore my custody back to what it was before this unlawful Order took it away and destroyed our Daughter's Status Quo. Please restore our Daughter's Status Quo. Melton v. Walker, 209 S.C. 330, 40 S.E.2.d 161 (1946) I believe this Writ of Supersedeas should be granted to avoid the irreparable injury and to prevent and undue the miscarriage of justice. I believe I will show this Court based on Stefan v. Stefan, 320 S.C. 419, 422,465 S.E.2d 734, 736(Ctt. App. 1995) (stating in part that it is the family Court that is charged with the authority and responsibility for protecting the interest of minors involved in litigation, NOT the guardian or any other person whom the court may appoint to assist.) You will see that the Feb. 12, 2021 Order did give

Counselors and the Guardian authority over my visitation, as mentioned in the Order April 7, 2021. This Guardian has on multiple times enjoined me from seeing our daughter and supported this false claim in her affidavit backing what she knew not to be the truth that I in some way was hiding emails from when our daughter ran away when I had fully cooperated and given all emails. I believe my Appeals Case will succeed on the merits of my case and the Appeal will only be granted based on the merits. 4 C.J.S. Appeal and Error 417 (1993). I am seeking to have this Order overturned because it is not based on Rule 26 Orders (a) Findings of Facts for Attorney's fees Haselden v. Haselden (S.C. App 2001) 347 S.C. 48, 552 S.E.>2d 329. The ruling is based on unsubstantiated hearsay when it is mentioned that I published our daughter's counseling/medical records on my website and Facebook. A Judge is barred from making rulings on hearsay and is a violation of a valid Order. Beckham (S.C.2005) 365 S.C. 637, 620 S.E.2d 69 Justices Of The Peace. Judge Forsythe also changed Judge Ferderigos' Order without a hearing and took away more of my visitation during an unrecorded WebEx call where there was no Court Reporter present. Then she also did not grant me a hearing within 45 days of Judge Ferderigos's Order Bryngelson (S.C. 2013) 403 S.C. 115, 742 S.E.2d 392. This Judge Forsythe also presented false information about this Pro Se Father saying I harassed and threatened the other Counsels, Defendant and the Court. I did not break any Harassment Statutes or the Federal Anti-Threat statute 18 U.S.C. 875(c) and none of my language of holding people accountable with the Office of Disciplinary Counsel or holding them accountable in Court should be taken as a threat. This is no difference than someone stealing from you and you tell that person or persons, you will be calling the police for their wrong doing. That is not a threat to tell someone you are going to report what you believe to be a crime. I did no such Harassment nor any Threats for such to be written about my character by this Judge Forsythe. She even reprimands me and

says do I know that these attorneys will have to spend their own money in Columbia to defend themselves. It is said like that or close to those words in the transcript. Please do not quote me on those exact words but it is in there. I did not break any anti-threat statutes. Just as Judge Ferderigos got it correct and just, in his Denial of the Guardian's Motion with false claims and half information which breaks 63-3-830 (A)(4) of a guardian must give current and accurate information with his April 5, 2020 Order. I believe that filing along with October 30, 2020 breaks Rule 3.1 Meritorious Claims and Contentions by both attorneys Mr. Hopkins and Mr. Blanks. Mr. Hopkins not so much on the April 5, 2021 Order but definitely on the October 30, 2020. It is also against Rule 11 to sign a motion knowing things to be false. Just as this false claim on April 5, 2021 was denied by Judge Ferderigos, this April 7, 2021 Order should have denied the threats and harassment by Judge Forsythe but it did not. I was a lay person sending emails to the opposing counsels asking them to remedy the Rule 3.3 "Candor Towards the Tribunal" before the Judge made his Order. I was also asking for my discovery which is on page 24 of the April 7, 2021 transcript. If Mr. Hopkins knew I did not know the formal process of a valid interrogatory request for production, then per Rule 3.4 "Fairness to Opposing Party And Counsel" to stop driving up the cost of attorney fees for himself he should have let me know about the way to properly ask for the Court Ordered HIPPA he was supposed to provide to me since 2019 that I could use to get his clients medical records from having been committed for 7 days at the MUSC IOP for Bi-polar 1. Still to this day I do not have these records and they were originally Ordered the Summer of 2019 by Judge Bultman. How is this fair? This is another issue where Judge Forsythe Changed (2) two previous Orders of Judge Bultman 2019 and Judge Ferderigos's Order from September 2020 when he Ordered them again at a Motion to Compel.

REVIEW THE ORDER "APRIL 7, 2021 HEARING"

- 2) Let us start with Page 1-2 of the April 7, 2021 Order, "This is by no means the complete procedural history of the case—the following are the most pertinent proceedings and historical information for this Court." We will cover this, and it will be shown that Judge Forsythe left out information that is negative towards the defendant. All a disinterested party must do is read her April 7, 2021 Order and then read the actual Orders for this case from 2012 until now and you will see the partiality by this Judge Forsythe. The definition of pertinent is, "PERTINENT = relevant or applicable to a particular matter; apposite." This Court not only left out pertinent information, but mainly included information that would put the Plaintiff Father in a bad light.
- 3) Last line second paragraph is a FALSE STATEMENT #1, Per Family Court RULE 26 ORDERS (Factual Findings are not Supported by the Record) "BUT PARTIES DID NOT RETURN DIRECTLY TO THIS COURT FOR FURTHER RELIEF." I have a copy of the Order on Defendant's Motion for Continuance and the first paragraph states, "This matter came before the Court for a merits hearing on January 29,2020 pursuant to the motion to alter or amend filed by Plaintiff pursuant to South Carolina Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b)(5) filed December 18, 2019." (SEE EXHIBIT #3) This proves the first Factual Findings not Supported by the Record with this Judge. This is when William Hammett after mediation was not successful with Ms. McAdams on racing, he filed for a final merits hearing. Mr. Hopkins, defendant's attorney postponed this. This is the truth and contradicts Judge Forsythe's Order. I also have an email with Christine James, the Family Court Docket Manager, and I asked her before Judge Forsythe's April 7, 2021 Hearing on March 19, 2021 about 1day-Rule 60 Final Hearing. (SEE EXHIBIT #4) Mr. Hopkins and Mr. Blanks were copied. Then when the Judge Ordered everything Clumped together without enough time per Rule 501, I have another email from Christine James where she says, QUOTE: "If I have missed anything please let me know and I

will discuss this with the Judge." I replied and copied Judge Forsythe and said, QUOTE: "My Supersedeas and my motion from the rule 60 for decision making are the 2 missing." Then she replied, QUOTE: "I have confirmed with the judge that the below matters are all that is being heard on June 16th, 2021." This means Judge Forsythe completely ignored my Rule 60 Final Merits Hearing. (SEE EXHIBIT #5) This is in direct violation and bias and not within Rule 501 Canon 3(B)(8) and this is not being promptly handled or giving fair treatment towards this Pro Se Father. The reason this is so serious with this Judge being partial is because she is destroying a minor child's life and a father's life by continuing to enjoin them based on false claims and not letting the father have his day in Court. This Charleston County Family Court has denied ALL of the father's legitimate filings since October 30, 2020 except the father's request to Remove the GAL and the father asked for 5 days and only got one with the Judge clumping it with other stuff which is not giving enough time to cover almost 2 years of the GAL being biased and partial. This Judge only scheduled this after the Plaintiff Father sent a letter of complaint about the Charleston County Family Court to the Charleston County Legislative Delegation consisting of 14 Representatives and 8 Senators. (SEE EXHIBIT #6). Is it too much to ask for an impartial Judge and to have this Pro Se Father's fair day in Court with enough time to stand up for his daughter's future?

4) Since the Judge brought up all the "Pertinent" information it is important to show what Court Orders are in place governing this case. I am giving you all Orders that are binding on this case so you can see how Judge Forsythe slanted the case with partiality. So, there will be no Rock left unturned.

MOST ALL ORDERS OR PERTINENT ACTIONS THAT ARE RELEVANT HISTORY.

ALL Exhibits are Numbered #7 with a alphabetized letter following.

- a) April 2012 "Final Order" (SEE EXHIBIT #7-A)
- b) February 11, 2016 "Order on Rules to Show Cause" (SEE EXHIBIT #7-B) In this was the first Rule to Show Cause. It needs to be noted if you read it that Judge Creech consistently states that the Father "admits" to the violations. The mother Denied to having the boyfriend spending the night which was a false statement per being reported by our daughter. Also, in this my Attorney Chillico did not bring my case file to Court so we did not have my evidence to show the Judge that I was attending Counseling and that Linda Toporek had stopped seeing me because Ashley would not pay her share of her bill as it was written in our 2012 Final Court Order. In the next Rule to Show Cause Judge Richter mentions this and I had to pay Ashley's bill and I was never reimbursed. The only way Linda Toporek would see me was for me to pay Ashley's past due bill. This is also mentioned in the next Order.
- c) February 7, 2017 "Order (From Rule To Show Cause)" (SEE EXHIBIT #7-C) Judge Richter. In this Order Judge Richter makes it a mandatory seven (7) Days in Jail for Ms. McAdams if she violates the Order with the Paramour again. I would say this is a very "Pertinent" Order to this Case and Judge Forsythe Failed to mention this in her April 7, 2021 Order. In this case Ms. McAdams gave the Court a false Affidavit denying the boyfriend spending the night while our minor child was in her custody. My attorney Mr. Ryan Swartz said that the Judge in the Pre-trial conference saw that, and the Judge told them to go out in the hall and settle this or someone was going to Jail. Ms. McAdams presented a totally false affidavit to THIS HONORABLE COURT (SEE EXHIBIT #7-D) and the Private Investigator proved she was lying to this Honorable Court (SEE EXHIBIT #7-E). Also see

Rosalyn's Counselor's report (SEE EXHIBIT #7-F) at the time where she interviewed Ms. McAdams and Ms. McAdams lied to her as well, even though Rosalyn was telling the Counselor a different story than Ms. McAdams. THIS WAS THE FIRST TIME SHE WAS CAUGHT TELLING THE COURT A LIE. This also shows that I was not just making up a false allegation during Judge Creech's Rule to Show Cause. Now our daughter has reported witnessing a Sexual Lewd act in 2020 "IN FRONT of her and NOT WITH HER." I have asked this Family Court Multiple times to have an outside 3rd party do a forensic interview to get to the bottom and this Court refuses and denies each time I have request it because I believe the GAL covered this up and if our daughter is interviewed by a 3rd party the GAL Sharon Lovette will be guilty of Gross Negligence. At Judge Creech's Court I just did not know how or what standard of proof I needed. Judge Creech's Rule to Show Cause was my first time in Family Court for a trial with this case. This was the second time with Judge Richter's Rule to Show Cause.

d) August 10, 2018 "Order of Dismissal (WITHOUT PREUDICE)" CASE #2016-DR-10-4570 (SEE EXHIBIT #7-F-1) I believe this has Judge Forsythe's signature, but I could be wrong. The case was dismissed after 365 days. In this case after Ashley had lied to the Court and let William Hopkins put in a false affidavit, Mr. Hopkins quit. Then since I was the only one paying a Lawyer, I relieved Mr. Ryan Swartz and told him I would plead my case in front of the Judge. I thought the Court would notify me and they did not, and it was dismissed without prejudice which means Res Judicata does not apply and I can use all information since the last Final Order was 2012. This means any and everything since 2012 can be used. Like Ms. McAdams having a paramour spend the night and leaving our daughter on the street in front of her apartment unattended while she went OFF to work. [Our supreme

court has noted, A dismissal of a case without prejudice means that the plaintiff can reassert the same cause(s) of action by curing the defects that led to dismissal. By contrast, dismissals with prejudice are intended to bar relitigating of the same claim.

Collins v. Sigmon, 299 S.C. 464, 467, 385 S.E.2d 835, 837 (1989) This means I can use all these claims in this 2019 Change of Custody action and question Ms. McAdams for presenting a false affidavit which the PI Proved to be false and she had to settle before going in front of Judge Richter in Rule to Show Cause filed Feb. 2017. After this Dismissal NO LITIGATION WAS GOING ON WITH THIS CASE. This is important to note when we get to the "Suit Money Case" that is currently pending because Ms. McAdams makes another false claim that she filed bankruptcy in November of 2018 because of Litigation with this case. Not True. How could there be litigation when it was dismissed for no action? How is she incurring any cost from litigation?

e) February 2019 "Rule 60" Judge Forsythe (SEE EXHIBIT #7-G) – This was filed because Ms. McAdams was NOT agreeing to discuss or attempting to agree on the decision of Rosalyn Racing. I reached out asking Ms. McAdams for a ONE-ON-ONE meeting before purchasing Rosalyn a Bandolero Racecar which Rosalyn earned by WINNING 100 Races in Go-Karts. Ms. McAdams ignored me about the one-on-one meeting and would not even respond which is breaking our 2012 Court order as to responding within 4 hours and it broke the decision-making section of our 2012 Court Order. Instead of filing a Rule to Show Cause, William Hammett's law firm suggested a Rule 60. Here we are. Also, we went through mediation and Ms. McAdams did not have an attorney. All I have asked for and offered many times is for Ms. McAdams to be accommodating for our daughter's extra-curricular activity of racing as written in our 2012 Final Court Order on Page 5-6 and especially page

6. "The parties agree to reasonably accommodate the child's activities even if such activities occur during that parent's time with the child." I have offered for Ms. McAdams to attend events without me there and for all expenses to be covered. I believe my request with Judge Landis was reasonable of asking for Ms. McAdams to let Rosalyn continue her ONCE per MONTH racing league in Charlotte. Even Dr. Alexander has an affidavit stating such. They only using parts of his evaluation to make me look bad to get Judge Forsythe and Judge Ferderigos to Order another evaluation, when I have had one. They are ignoring my Doctor, Dr. Stringer who I have been seeing since 2017 and her affidavit says they are wrong. This is also a violation of 63-3-830(A)(4) where this GAL Sharon Lovette has had a signed HIPPA she can use to access my medical records since September 2020 verified by my Attorney William Hammett at the time. This Gal can use my Doctor's information to cure their false claims, but this GAL has failed to do so and is being partial. Ms. McAdams is the one who is the Obstructionist based on the Court Records and it is not me Like Judge Forsythe says in her Order. The GAL, Mr. Hopkins, and Mr. Blanks have misled this Court. I am in the process of completing their Motion for Sanctions and final letter to the Office of Disciplinary Counsel for their actions of deceit and lack of candor. Just as this is being given to the ODC for Judicial Conduct.

f) July 23, 2019 "Pendente Lite Order" Judge Bultman (SEE EXHIBIT #7-H) – Ms. McAdams was committed to MUSC IOP for 7 days and had myself and my mother thinking she was committing suicide with Rosalyn. Ms. McAdams had a violent episode at her work and thought Navy Seals were following her. See in the deposition of her boss where on page 21 line 17-21, when asked if she gave any explanations, he mentions that she explained she had been drugged, that someone put methamphetamines in her – in her drink. (SEE

EXHIBIT #7-I) I missed most of the deposition. I cannot make this up nor did I. All I want is for our daughter to be safe. Nothing else. JUDGE BULTMAN ON PAGE 8 PARAGRAPH G. ORDERS: MOTHER SHALL BE REQUIRED TO SIGN ANY AUTHORIZATIONS SO THAT FATHER AND THE GUARDIAN AD LITEM SHARON LOVETTE SHALL HAVE ACCESS TO HER MEDICAL RECORDS. (This is a key part and main part to my case of which I still have not been given an authorization I can use to get Ms. McAdams medical records to this day. Also Judge Forsythe's April 7, 2021 Order is denying me this access per Judge Bultman's Order and based on her partiality I will not be able to see the records unfiltered which is imperative to my whole case.

g) June 17, 2020 "Consent Order Appointing GAL" (SEE EXHIBIT #7-J) Sharon Lovette appointed GAL. and given access to Ashley's Medical Records by Judge Bultman along with Plaintiff father with no limits. S.C.Code Ann. 63-3-830 Paragraph 7. (a)(1) of the GAL Order states: "Guardian ad litem must not be compensated for reviewing documents related solely to financial matters not relevant to the suitability of the parents as to custody, visitation or child support." This means her attendance with her Attorney at the June 16, 2021 case is not required and her pay and her Attorney's pay is barred by this law. Also, RULE 501 Judicial Conduct Cannon 3(B)(8) Commentary "In disposing of matter promptly, efficiently and fairly, a judge must demonstrate due regard for the rights of the parties to be heard and to have issues resolved WITHOUT UNNECCESSARY COST OR DELAY." This also goes against Judge Forsythe of following Judge Ferderigos' Order April 5, 2021 of a hearing in 45 days which was not given in 45 days per his Order since April 5, 2021. This alone continued to enjoin me from our

daughter. Both having the Gal at a Suit Money Hearing and The Rules to Show Cause that have nothing to do with Custody, Visitation or Child Support. It has to do with financial matters and the Suit Money Case filed by Ms. McAdams is strictly financial. For this reason and reason alone, I respectfully ask that this Court date of June 16, 2021 be rescheduled because of driving up the cost of the case and please separate the Rules and Suit money from the Motion to Remove the Gal and my Hearing within 45-days per Judge Ferderigos' Order. Also, that the GAL Sharon Lovette and her Attorney Mr. Ervin Lindsay Blanks be put on notice that the Plaintiff Father objects to their attendance and paying for their attendance at the Suit Money and Rules to Show Cause Hearings. I also respectfully request another Judge be assigned to this case based on Judge Forsythe's partiality which we will cover in great detail later in this affidavit in support of removing Judge Forsythe. It also states that the GAL must provide accurate, current information directly to the Court, and the information must be relevant to matters pending before the Court. I can show this Court how the GAL has not provided accurate information to this Court and has been partial also, which is against the LAW. THE BIG ISSUE IS THE GUARDIAN <u>DID NOT FOLLOW OUR 2012 FINAL</u> COURT ORDER AS WRITTEN FOR ACOMMODATING OR THE LAW OF "CONSIDERING THE WISHES OF CHILDREN IF APPROPRIATE" I will next cover our daughter's "STATUS QUO" before this unlawful Order from October 30, 2020 based on fraud and misleading the Court. Our daughter (Rosalyn Walker) had participated in her monthly go-kart racing league in Charlotte for the entire school year of 2019-2020 4th Grade. Look at the record for her racing league attendance sheet. (SEE EXHIBIT #7-K) Now look at her 4th Grade school grades while attending all the Racing League events once per month and leaving school early for the entire 4th grade school year. (SEE EXHIBIT #7-

L) Now look at her 5th grade records. (SEE EXHIBIT #7-M) she is not the AB Honor roll student she once was with 1.) Racing K-1 Speed Go-Kart League, 2.) GoPro Motorplex Go-kart League, 3.) Tap Dance, 4.) Ballet and 5.) Karate. Now under her mother's absolute care our daughter is doing NO extra-curricular activities whatsoever. I was all about our daughter being well rounded and learning time-management. We had the approval from our daughter's Principal to leave school early for her once-per month Racing League in Charlotte. This is where the GAL broke the law and did not consider the wishes of the child which was appropriate based on her school Principal's approval and her past performance of AB Honor roll. This Guardian went against our 2012 Court Order (Exhibit 7-A) and the Law of, "CONSIDERING THE WISHES OF THE CHILDREN IF APPROPRIATE." See page 2 of this Order Paragraph 7, (b)(6). This GAL was partial and did not recommend based on the child's appropriate wishes to continue with the "Status Quo" from the previous school year of 4th grade and continue her success in ALL Extra-Curricular Activities. The GAL also has ignored the most recent information from my Doctor, Dr. Stringer and by statue "The GAL must provide accurate, CURRENT information directly to the Court, and that information MUST be relevant to this case and this Court has ignored Dr. Stringer's recommendations as well. There is nothing mentally wrong with me just ask Dr. Stringer who I have been seeing since 2017 and weekly to biweekly since October 2020. This Court has gone with the misinformation from the GAL and insisting I do another evaluation until recently they ruled against it. I have already done one that was a yearlong with Dr. Alexander that the GAL recommended, so I do not need any more evaluations that I cannot afford. We still do not have Ms. McAdams's medical records from her committed time. I can also show this Court how Ms. McAdams did not follow

Judge Bultman's order and "Fully Cooperate" with the Doctor who did an evaluation on her. Ms. McAdams did (2) two appointments when I did (32) thirty-two in the same length of time. (2) Two appointments when she was recommended to do (20) twenty is "NOT" fully cooperating and this GAL did not inform the Court of this nor did the GAL inform the Court I successfully completed the program and Ms. McAdams has not. I have attached my certificate and affidavit of Dr. Alexander (SEE EXHIBIT #7-N) where he verifies that Ms. McAdams **DID NOT** complete the recommended (20) twenty appointments by her Doctor, Dr. Ashbee thus NOT fully cooperating with the evaluation per ORDER of Judge Bultman. This is a MAJOR ISSUE and a future Rule to Show Cause. It boils down to Ms. McAdams not following Court Orders as written and the GAL endorsing her unlawful behavior. Dr Alexander's affidavit is clear, and it says, "Please be advised that Mr. Carlton conferred with me prior to asking for the early sign out for his daughter Rosalyn's racing event. I believe his request was reasonable and he attempted to compromise by using the Parallel Parenting Components and Techniques that we have been working on for the past SEVERAL MONTHS. Please note, that the Parallel Parenting Components and Techniques that I have instructed Mr. Walker to use can only be effective if both parents are working together using the evidence-based components and techniques that are being taught in our Parallel Parenting Program. Unfortunately, I believe Mr. Walker was met with resistance from Rosalyn's biological mother because she has only completed two (2) Parallel Parenting Sessions thus far. This information was confirmed by her counselor Dr. Sherri Ashbee. However, Mr. Walker has completed 10 Parallel Parenting sessions and only has 2 sessions left before he successfully completes all of the requirements of Parallel Parenting. Parallel Parenting can truly be measured when both parents are compromising to promote mental

wellness for Rosalyn. If the parents cannot make reasonable compromises to support the social and emotional wellbeing of Rosalyn, it won't be long before she starts exhibiting mental distress, acting out behaviors, depressed moods and eventually not being interested in any activities that will be suggested from either parent." Due to this GAL NOT following the Law 63-3-830 and 2012 Court Order or the Recommendations of the Principal approving leaving early and the Recommendations of Dr. Alexander and Failing to be impartial by enforcing Ms. McAdams to complete the program as she enforced it with me. This is partiality by the GAL and the facts of the record show this.

- h) August 13, 2019 "Civil Contempt Order" Judge McLin (SEE EXHIBIT #7-O) Ashley was found in contempt for breaking Judge Creech's New Order about "enjoin both parties from this time forward from using vulgar, profane, demeaning, or threatening language to or at the other party regardless of the presence of the minor child." Ms. McAdams was not paying her share of daycare. Also, to get leniency from Judge McLin, Ms. McAdams when questioned about her use of the vulgar language she admits she does not have willful control of her own mind. Look on page 67 line 7-12 of the Court Transcript from Judge McLin's Court. (SEE EXHIBIT #7-P)
- i) February 3, 2020 "Verified Petition For Rule To Show Cause" (SEE EXHIBIT #7-Q)

 Filed by William Hammett still pending to be heard because of Covid 19. Issues are 1.)

 Failing to Reimburse Expenses. 2.) Failing to Sign Authorizations to Mother's Medical

 Records per Judge Bultman's Order. 3.) Mother's Violation of Father's Right to Enroll the

 Child in Racing. 4.) Mother Failure to Be Accommodating. (COVID 19 POSTPONED

 THIS)

j) June 9, 2020 "Verified Petition For Rule To Show Cause" (SEE EXHIBIT #7-R) Filed by William Hammett still pending to be heard because of Covid 19. The issue is that I was per our 2012 Court Order entitled to have our daughter Rosalyn for my 50th Birthday from 6-8pm and Ms. McAdams refused to let me have our daughter during my Ordered visitation time. I even asked earlier in the day to have Rosalyn for the entire day of my 50th Birthday and Ms. McAdams kept our child home with her friend Nikki instead of letting me have our daughter for the entire day. Ms. McAdams even stated in a text message, "There is no first right of refusal for 50th birthdays." Whether I was or was not entitled to have our daughter for the entire day is not relevant. It is just cruel and unusual to not let your child spend time with the other parent during such a significant event as a one and only 50th birthday and instead let your friend keep the child away from the other parent. I have attached the text. (SEE EXHIBIT #7-S). Then to make it even worse, the Guardian supposedly supported this action of breaking our 2012 Final Court Order per Ms. McAdams text, "I was going by my conversation with Sharon in which she stated she did not like the idea of Rosalyn. leaving tonight for 2 hours based on a parent birthday." I replied back, "You were advised with my text. The diner is over. You can not make up ruining my 50th birthday. Sharon does not have the authority to change our court order or the governor's order." Then what is significant is Rosalyn reports this to her Counselor Karen Tarpey on April 9, 2020 and it is in her notes on page 177 of her Subpoenaed Notes of the case which has a Affidavit of Records Custodian signed by Mrs. Karen Tarpey (SEE EXHIBIT #7-T) ["April 9, 2020 Rosalyn Walker, 4/9/2020 theralink, moms house, She is just like/ I want all this to stop if she signs papers and everything is good/ I feel like you are ruining my childhood. Mom took away racing, I saw him (Plaintiff Father) standing down there

"I don't get why they had to take me into a different room" asking my mom why. Confused about Dad's party, It's like really hard for me because my mom isn't signing the papers / making me go through all this drama. "I really want to race." As a Father I feel hopeless because this Guardian is covering up for Ms. McAdams and my voice is being silenced by this partial Court with Judge Forsythe. The worst part is they are causing irreparable harm to our daughter. These notes by Mrs. Karen Tarpey were done on "Theralink" which is the ZOOM for counselors, so I had nothing to do with this report whatsoever. This was given to Mrs. Tarpey during Ms. McAdams custody. I believe this is the first time Ms. McAdams breaking a Court Rule has mentally affected our daughter.

k) Now I will give the Court the most relevant information. A copy of the "Plaintiff's Affidavit In Opposition of Defendant's Suit Money and Counterclaim." (SEE EXHIBIT #7-U) In here the Appeals Court will get the most accurate information about this case. Please read the 13-page affidavit and look at the exhibits if necessary. The Charleston County Family Court, Mr. Hopkins and Mr. Blanks have already been served with a copy and another copy will not be provided with the Motion for Writ of Supersedeas to save cost and paper. I certify they All have a copy.

NOW TO COVER THE REST OF THE APRIL 7, 2021 ORDER

- 5) I am going to give the Appeals Court a Copy of my notes written on the Order to make it easier to explain. (SEE EXHIBIT #8) This can be used with Exhibit #1 the clean copy of the Order.
- 6) On Page 3-4 of the "April 7, 2021 Hearing" Judge Forsythe leaves out the record about that my attorney filed it Feb 10, 2020 way before Mr. Hopkins filed his and we were awarded attorney fees by Judge Ferderigos for this delay and obstruction by Mr. Hopkins and Mrs. McAdams for not giving a signed HIPPA. Please go read the 3-pages "Order On Plaintiff's

Motion To Compel" by Judge Ferderigos. (EXHIBIT #9) which is completely different than Judge Forsythe's slanted partial version against this Plaintiff Father. As Judge Forsythe's Order reads on page 4 at the top it is <u>NOT</u> what Judge Ferderigos Ordered "for mother to produce her medical records to counsel for father. "Judge Ferderigos' Order states instead, "Defendant shall provide a fully executed release to Plaintiff granting him access to her medical records within ten(ten) days. .." This is completely different than Judge Forsythe's version of Judge Ferderigos' Order from the September 2020 "Motion to Compel."

- Also page 4 of the April 7 2021 Order she states "Father sought permission from the Court to allow him to withdraw RCW from school early for Bandolero races" Not true it was for K1Speed monthly Go-kart races which Go-karts racing was approved by Judge Bultman's Order from 2019. #2 Factual Findings NOT supported by the Record. Just look at (Exhibit 7-U) where I have the Transcript from October 12, 2020 hearing. I was asking for Ms. McAdams to be accommodating per our 2012 Final Court order and not the already contested issue for my Filing of the Rule 60 which was Granted by Judge Forsythe. Judge Landis, the GAL, Mr. Hopkins, Ms. McAdams and Mr. Blanks all when against our daughter's Status Quo and against our 2012 Final Order as written.
- 8) Page 5 of the April 7, 2021 Order is #3 False Finding not supported by the Record by Judge Forsythe. I did not abruptly remove the child from school. I picked her up from Ocean Club (After school daycare) and this is also in "Exhibit 7-U" the Principal Nancy Leigh's Notes that I removed Rosalyn from Ocean Club and that it was my Court Ordered Week and the Principal told the GAL Sharon Lovette she would follow the Court Order as written. I also provided the Principal another copy of the Order confirming it was my Court Ordered visitation week. I also had a good reason to keep Rosalyn out of school for October 30, 2020, because our daughter

- had multiple (0) Zeros and missed work. I was going to supervise her and make her get caught up on all of her missed work. I also let the Principal Nancy Leigh know I would have our child out of school to get caught up. This too is in her notes. (SEE EXHIBIT # 10)
- 9) Exhibit #10 is the BIGGEST EVIDENCE that the October 30, 2020 Exparte Order and Affidavit of Ms. McAdams is False claim about my actions. They all knew I was keeping Rosalyn out to get her schoolwork caught up just as I had done at the start of school by bring up her 45 to an 85 during my Custody Week which is also in **Exhibit 7-U**.
- 10) Next, I have the Court Transcript from Nov. 3, 2021 where Judge McEachin found it Odd about the GAL wanting all calls recorded. And see his ruling and I believe he only gave 2 weeks for me to find new counsel so not to interrupt our daughter's "Status Quo" (SEE EXHIBIT #11)
- 11) Also Judge Forsythe fails to mention that 3 times during the April 7, 2021 hearing she said for herself that this case is not about "Alienation" as was falsely presented to Judge Ferderigos on Jan 20, 2021 for him to make this Order on Feb 12. 2021 based on Fraud. Also see that Mr. Blanks knew "They are trying to get emails" was false before he told Judge Ferderigos on Jan. 20, 2021 at the hearing which is also in the Jan 20, 2021 transcript. I have an email where Mr. Blanks continues on the false claim of "They are trying to get emails" and nowhere is this in the call recording or Court Reporter Transcript. (SEE EXHIBIT #12) This is also the BIGGEST issue concerning RULE 3.3 "Candor Towards the Tribunal" that Mr. Blanks FAILED to inform and remedy before the Court came out with it's Order on Feb. 12 2021. Also the expert Witness they used for Alienation says in her Affidavit on page 10-11, "I would like to note that I have not seen either parent in a clinical setting and that I am therefore not qualified to make diagnostic statements about either, and that nothing in this affidavit should be interpreted as such," (SEE EXHIBIT #13) 'This Affidavit is the one Mr. Blanks used with the Court as an

expert and it needs to also be noted that Mrs. Armstrong is an LPC-A which is not a LPC who can practice Counseling without a Supervisor LPC-S. This means she is still and Intern/Associate Counsel and not an Expert. Judge Ferderigos used this affidavit as his "Alienation" evidence I believe as his ruling Mother made a "prima facie" showing that "Father had." And Judge Ferderigos did use Leslie Armstrong's affidavit as such of "alienation" from an intern/associate that is being supervised. Once again no less than 3 times during the April 7, 2021 hearing did Judge Forsythe say it was NOT an Alienation case and there is no mention of this in her April 7, 2021 Order.

- 12) False Finding of Fact not supported by evidence #4 is on Page 6. "The visitation schedule and Zoom communication was contingent on Mother agreeing to the communication" which is not in Judge Ferderigos' Order Feb. 12, 2021. Then it goes on "... unsupervised visitation schedule was contingent on Mother on therapist agreement. (This second part of the Feb 12, 2021 Order I believe is against Stefan v. Stefan concerning NOT assigning visitation to anyone besides the Court.)
- 13) False Finding of Fact not supported by evidence #5 is on page 6. "Father also requested the family court restrain Maternal Grandmother, Material Grandfather. Not true on Material Grandfather, and mother's friend from the minor child." I asked that my biological father Lance C. Cross be supervised and also the Maternal Grandmother. I have my Motion for Expedited Temporary Relief that was denied by Judge Forsythe and on page 5 of 9 it says this and Exibits 15 and 16 show this. This is a motion that Judge Forsythe read and denied on Feb. 9, 2021. (SEE EXHIBIT #14)
- 14) False Finding of Fact not supposed by evidence #6 is on page 8. "Judge Ferderigos expressed his concern that Father had failed to make progress towards unsupervised visitation." This is not

what his concern was. Just read his Order from April 5. 2021 and his concern was that the GAL reported that my visitation supervisor had quit and I believe he saw for the first time that Dr. Stringer's affidavit went against the GAL's claims. Judge Forsythe also FAILS to mention that Judge Ferderigos DENIED ALL of the GAL's Motion on ALL requests. This is a BIG Point left out and shows this Judge Forsythe being partial towards the Defendant Mother's side by not mentioning this.

- 15) Then on page 8 of the April 7, 2021 Order says, "This Court denied Father's April 2, 2021 petition for supersedes on April 22, 2021. This is true but also breaks Cannon 3 (B)(8) on the Court being promptly I believe. It was 20 days to get an answer on an "Emergency and Exparte Relief, Expedited Writ of Supersedeas" Motion request. Here is the denied Supersedeas (SEE EXHIBIT 15). Also, could I ask the Appeals Court to read this Supersedeas and consider since this denial is part of my APPEAL?
- 16) False statement #7 top of page 9. "it is unclear to this Court if Father's various Motions were ever scheduled for a hearing. Just look at Exhibit #6 the letter to the Charleston County Delegation where I give a very detailed account how the Charleston County Family Court had denied my filings. This was given to Judge Forsythe's office on April 15, 2021 I believe but before this Order came out on May 18, 2021.
- 17) False #8 "As opposing counsel did not object, the Court also considered Father's arguments on his Motions filed on March 30th and April 2, 2021." No where did the Court inform me in any way previous that March 30th and April 2 would be heard which is the Supersedeas dated April 2. Also, you cannot find in the Court Transcript of the opposing counsel saying they did not object. I also was not given notice and if it was not the motion scheduled how, then my question is, "How can the Court hear it?"

- 18) Page 10 "Much of the relief Father sought was unclear and ambiguous" All a disinterested party must do is read the 2 things denied by Judge Forsythe. My Feb. 9, 2021 Denied and my April 2, 2021 Supersedeas Denied and they are both clear of the relief I was seeking.
- 19) Page 10 paragraph #1. I asked for the Chief Administrative Judge to deem my case complex on my motion Pursuant to Article V, Section 4 of the South Carolina Constitution for more time to hear my motions and not to assign Judge Forsythe jurisdiction over my case. See page 4 of 21.

 (SEE EXHIBIT 16)
- 20) Page 11 paragraph 3 Judge Forsythe claims I have filed irrelevant materials, "mislead the Court."

 I ask How? Please explain. I have not.
- 21) Page 11 paragraph 4. The lies are not perceived "Lies" just as you can see, they are not perceived in this April 7, 2021 Order. The lies are based on the findings of facts.
- 22) Page 11 paragraph 6 "Father also allowed his witnesses and family to communicate with this Court. My Aunt is the Court Ordered Visitation Supervisor, and she did contact the Court because Mr. Hopkins and Mr. Blanks would not answer her questions about scheduling my visitation thus enjoining and delaying me more from visitation with our daughter. This is a misleading statement by Judge Forsythe because my Court appointed supervisor was family. If my supervisor was a paid supervisor there would have been no complaining about her reaching out to the Court for scheduling my visitation. I also ask the question, "Father's conduct continues to disrupt and manipulate the integrity and efficiency of the court system." HOW?
- 23) Page 12 Paragraph 8. Judge Forsythe's statement is contradicted by looking at the Feb. 9, 2021, motion that was denied by Judge Forsythe and this makes this paragraph a mostly false statement. I believe.

- 24) Page 12 Paragraph 9 "Father has sent many emails which were threatening, harassing and abusive in nature." Not based on evidence presented and I have not done this, nor have I broken any anti-threat laws. Also read the transcript and I was told as a lay person to contact her "The Court" to have everything to go through her. I also sent my objections to this Order by email. I have a right to object to this kind of Order being placed on me by this Family Court.
- 25) Page 13 Paragraph 10. I did not threaten any Judges. <u>If this was true</u>, <u>I would be arrested</u>. For me to ask how I Appeal their decisions like I did with Judge Landis which his office never answered me. I also did not violate any anti-threat laws.
- 26) Page 13 Paragraph 11. Who did I discourage?
- 27) I believe most of page 13 is based on hearsay and not the actual evidence.
- 28) Page 14 Paragraph 16. Why am I limited to (1) one email per week and the other party is not?
- 29) Page 14 Paragraph #19 I believe is against my freedom of speech and is not a protected speech from what I can research. I could be wrong.
- 30) Page 14 Paragraph 21. Our Final 2012 Court Order says on page 9, "the parties agree to only post pictures of the minor child on their own private social media websites" I believe my facebook page when I post pictures of our daughter set on the friends only mode for view is within this section of our order because only my accepted friend which is private and restricted people only can see my post and for her to order all 11 eleven plus years to be taken down is against my freedom of speech.
- 31) Page 15 paragraph 23. I am also Appealing her quashing of my subpoenas to people like Leslie Armstrong and her Counseling Supervisor because I have every right to get their records concerning our daughter. Also saying I have to send all subpoenas to her in Court for her approval I believe goes against Rule 45 where I am to receive signed but otherwise blank

- subpoenas by the clerk of court to serve as I wish. I have not sent a subpoena to anyone that would not have information of which I believe is relevant to my case.
- 32) Page 15 Paragraph 24 How can she make a ruling on a believed intention? I have never published any counseling or medical records as they claim. Of course just as any proud parent brags about their AB Honor Roll student I shared her grades with my friends and her bad one(s) during this time so my friends could see how this has negatively affected our daughter.
- 33) Page 16 Paragraph 27 FALSE FACT NOT BASED ON EVIDENCE. "Father insisted that RCW may have been exposed to sexual abuse." I have never said such a thing. It is clear I said she witnessed a sexual lewd act "IN FRONT" of her and not with her. Just look at this time stamped, and Court recorded transcript. Even in the Transcript of the April 7, 2021 hearing I am very clear and corrected the Court about this very topic. It is in the transcript. This is a blatantly false claim by this Court. Also, why would this Court deny our child being interviewed by a 3rd party to see if the allegations are real? I believe it is because if our child is interviewed it will be reported that the GAL Sharon Lovette failed in her duties to protect and will be found guilty of gross negligence of her duties as a GAL. This is the only reason to deny this request. Everyone else is driven by hate for me and I am driven by looking out for our daughter's best future.
- 34) Page 16 Paragraph 28. I believe this is Exparte Communications because both myself and the other party did not understand this and if you read in the Court transcript, we are all left thinking Dr. Foster was going to be the Evaluator. I believe the Court had Outside contact with Dr. Foster and came to this conclusion without notice to the parties. I have emails to the Court to show that all parties thought that Dr. Foster was able and willing even though I did not want her doing another evaluation on me. (SEE EXHIBIT #17)

- 35) Page 17 paragraph 31. Not with me. The Court let Helen Wheeler's Attorney sit in on the Case who is friends with Leslie Armstrong who wrote the horrible affidavit about me without ever meeting with me clinically. Then this Court is going to let this attorney who was trying to quash my subpoena for me getting relevant information. The Court let this person suggest Counselors for our daughter so I get-can get more of the same? I do not think so, nor would I agree to such of a suggestion.
- 36) Page 17 Paragraph 32 and 33 there is no Basis on financials for the 75/25 split.
- 37) Page 18 Paragraph 34 is restricting to only MUSC and Berkeley which is not how Judge Bultman wrote his Order from 2019.
- 38) Page 18 Paragraph 35 Now the Court gets to filter what evidence I get to see of the medical records? This is not fair and just or equal when the other party has a signed HIPPA by me where they are not restrained to accessing anything they want about me. Also, the Court keeping these records is driving up cost because if I want another expect to analyze them then I would have to pay for their time of going to the Court House to view them. Also Judge Bultman and I believe Judge Ferderigos said this too. I am allowed to have experts view the records.
- 39) Page 18-19 Paragraph 37. "Mother's income is less than Father's income." This is false based on the Order of Judge Ferderigos' exhibit for Child Support shows Father's income is \$3,990 and Mother's income is \$5,000 as the Monthly Gross Income. (SEE EXHIBIT #18) Then Judge Forsythe makes a ruling on Appearance? "It appears that Mother's financial position is far weaker than Father's Financial position." Judge Forsythe made a false statement and the makes her judgment based on appearances and of filing for Suit Money? In the Court transcript the Mother is questioned about her most resent trip to New Orleans for Spring Break. Let the record show this Ruling is unjust by looking at my affidavit in opposition to suit money filed. (SEE

- **EXHIBIT #7-U)** I am the one who meets the requirements of not having counsel because I could not meet my financial obligations with my previous attorney Mr. William Hammett. It is all explained in **Exhibit #7-U**.
- 40) Page 19 Paragraph 39 Here are some of my emails to Mr. Hopkins and Mr. Blanks. (SEE EXHIBIT #19)
- 41) Paragraph 45. Then all of these rushes to close the case with 60 days for discovery when I still have not been give the Medical Records from Judge Bultman's Order of 2 years ago in the Summer of 2019. How can I prove my case without it?
- 42) Paragraph 46. "All of Father's other miscellaneous matters not specifically identified are hereby denied.
- 43) I also have analyzed the Court Transcript myself and her are my notes for the transcript. (SEE EXHIBIT #20)

I PRAY THE APPEALS COURT FOR RELIEF

- 1. For an Order of Writ of Supersedeas by the Court of Appeals for the Order by Judge Ferderigos Filed Feb. 12, 2021 which Judge Forsythe denied even a hearing of my Supersedeas and yet also claims she heard the April 2, 2021 on April 7, 2021. Which will reinstate our Final 2012 Court Order as written before October 30, 2020 and reinstate our daughter's Status Quo.
- 2. For an Order of Writ of Supersedeas by the Court of Appeals for the Order April 7, 2021

 Hearing and putting a stay on the entire Order and reinstate our daughter's Status Quo
 to our 2012 Court Order and any previous Orders before October 30, 2020.
- 3. For an Order by the Appeals Court to Order the Charleston County Family Court Chief Administrative Judge that the CAJ shall report Judge Michele Patrao Forsythe to the

- appropriate authority per "CANNON 3 (D) 1" for a full investigation per <u>ALL</u> of the possible and likely violations of the "LAW" as described by the "Terminology" in the RULE 501 of JUDICIAL CONDUCT to include but not limited to Court Rules, Statutes, Constitutional Provisions and Decisional Law.
- 4. For an Order by the Appeals Court Ordering that the Chief Administrative Judge declare the April 7, 2021 hearing a mistrial based on dishonesty, fraud, deceit and misrepresentation of the Plaintiff Father by Attys Mr. William E. Hopkins, Jr., Mr. Ervin Lindsay Blanks and partiality of Judge Michele Patrao Forsythe that her "ORDER APRIL 7, 2021 HEARING" be Null and Void based on dishonesty, fraud, deceit, exparte communication, partiality, violation of Rule 26 Orders and misrepresenting of this Plaintiff Father.
- 5. For an Order by the Appeals Court Ordering that Judge Michele Patrao Forsythe be removed from this case based on the multiple violations of "Law" per RULE 501 Judicial Conduct.
- 6. For an Order by the Appeals Court to reschedule Judge Forsythe's June 16, 2021 full day hearing with the new Presiding Judge. I also stipulate that the GAL and her attorney do not need to attend the Suit Money or the 2 Rules to Show Cause unless Ms. McAdams would like to cover their cost. The hearing also must not include the GAL Sharon Lovette per the SC LAW the GAL is not required to attend hearings on financial matters and must not be compensated for reviewing documents related solely to financial matters per Title 63-Chapter 3-830 A (4) Family Court Code of Laws. This means that paying her Attorney Ervin Lindsay Blanks and the GAL to be at a Suit Money "Financial Matters" "not involving custody, visitation or child support" is driving up the cost of

this case which is prohibited by Judicial Code Cannon 3 B (8). "Commentary" (In disposing of matters promptly, efficiently, and fairly, a judge must demonstrate due regard for the rights of the parties to be heard and to have issues resolved without unnecessary cost or delay.) Having the GAL Sharon Lovette and her Attorney Ervin Lindsay Blanks present is by definition "unnecessary cost" on both parties in this case. Also, the 2 Rules to Show Causes have nothing to do with custody, visitation, or child support so the same applies to the 2 Rules to Show Causes because they also have nothing to do with Custody, visitation, or child support. The only thing the GAL should be present for is the "Motion to Remove the GAL" which I requested (5) five days for and Judge Forsythe gave one day with all these others clumped together which is also against Judicial Code Cannon 3 B (8). Also, it is not Due Process. Please Order that the 2 Rules to show Cause and the Suit Money be scheduled together without the attendance of the GAL and her Attorney Ervin Lindsay Blanks for (1) one Full Day with the New Assigned Judge. Please Order (2) two full days for covering 2 years of why this GAL should be removed from this case for the "Motion To Remove the Guardian" How is clumping it all together fair per the Cannon?

- 7. For an Order from the Appeals Court to Order assigning another Charleston County Family Court Judge to this case.
- 8. For an Order from the Appeals Court to Order such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

A few more Bullet Points:

- May 17, 2021 & June 16, 2021 ALL On Appeal by my Attorney Mr. Gil Gatch. (SEE ALL
 Court documents of Appeals and Supersedeas my Attorney has filed to over turn Judge
 Forsythe's Rulings. The list of allegations are set within both of these documents
 against Judge Forsythe.
- Also on June 16, 2021, I would like to point on that the Judge drove up the cost to over \$800 because I had a witness who was Karen Tarpey and even the Defendant's attorney who was disbarred asked to save undo cost, pointed out to Judge Forsythe that a witness was outside and the Judge denied bring her in. This drove up my cost. (SEE JUNE 16,2021 TRANSCRIPT)
- Also my Aunt Debrorah Pace who is retired from 28 years from the South Carolina
 Probation, Parole and Parden Services was my free supervisor per Judge Ferderigos'
 Order and Judge Forsythe changed it to a paid Supervisor. Also Aunt Deb was on the
 April 9, 2021 Call when Judge Forsythe took away visitation for no reason and did not
 do an Order yet they the GAL, Mr. Blanks, Mr. William Hopkins and driving up the cost.
 (SEE EXHIBIT 100 Aunt Deb)

<u>CONCLUSION</u>

Above is a copy of an affidavit that Judge Forsythe was given along with the Appeals Court which the Appeals Court accepted my Appeal of this Judge's Order and is currently being handled by my Attorney. The above was the affidavit submitted before the June 16, 2021 hearing. As a Pro Se Lay person I believe I was either asking for too much authority or in some way misfiled or did not serve this in the right way because it was denied by the Appeals Court. When I formally asked why? The Court sent a letter that they would not give me the reason.

It is my personal belief that a disinterested person would read this case and decide based on the actions of Judge Forsythe that she should NOT be allowed to continue as a Judge in South Carolina to do this to anyone else in our Great State.

My question is, if a Judge does not enforce the Court Rules, Statutes, Case Law, or the US Constitution then what do we have? It even gets worst with Judge Forsythe because after this and filing a request for her to remove herself and also asking that at the beginning of the June 16, 2021 hearing, I believe I need say nothing else except read the Appeals filed and the 2 Supersedeas's Filed by my Attorney SC House Representative District 94 Gil Gatch. In the Appeals and Supersedeas Mr. Gatch Outlines most all the wrongs from June 16, 2021, hearing with Judge Forsythe. Also, per the "Example Witness Affidavit Form" I have included both Court Transcripts from hearings April 7, 2021, and June 16, 2021, and All Exhibits to back up the above affidavit if the Commission finds it necessary to review.

Please do not give this Judge Forsythe another opportunity to act like this to another public citizen in our state and please put yourself in my family's shoes. I also believe I am not the only one who Judge Forsythe has done this to, because she mentions another father that she is going to put in jail too during one of my hearings. I don't understand how she can bring up other cases during my case. The worst comment Judge Forsythe made to me in Court is and you think you are going to get your daughter back? It is in one of the transcripts or similar to that effect and my answer was yes. I will <u>NOT</u> give up this fight Justice and Freedom. My Stepfather is losing time and his life's work mortgaging his home, exhausting his retirement, and selling interest in the family farm in fighting, not for me, but for his granddaughter's brightest future and time with her again before his cancer takes him. Instead of my "Dad" focusing on his limited time left enjoying his family, this

NEVER be able to repay him for this in what is left of his time. ALL I can and will do in the future, is pay it forward when he is gone, by working to change the Family Court Nation Wide with www.FamilyCourtReform.org, so to help others not to go through what he and I went through. I am before this Commission because of my Dad's selfless act. I want to be a full-time father again and NO Judge should ever be able to destroy a family like this. Judges MUST be held to a higher standard of professionalism and honor than what is presented before this Judicial Merit Selection Commission in ALL these Documents concerning Judge Forsythe. Even if there is not a replacement for Judge Forsythe currently. We cannot just let this slide. The facts are the facts, and they must mean more than any relationship, tenure or career a Judge has. Imagine if this was your family and your son or daughter. You presented the truth to the best of your knowledge, and it was ignored, there has to be Honor behind the title Your Honor, there must be in our Great State of South Carolina, there MUST BE. Please Protect the Public of South Carolina by removing Judge Forsythe.

MY PRAYER

On October 17, 2021, 2 Corinthians 12:1-21 NIV, God gave me this verse that sums up this entire story with my family, my Dad's and mother's selfless financial sacrifice and what our daughter has been though in this case the past few years. You can Look it up and read it. God wants us to have a relationship with him. This has been the hardest time of my life ever, not to focus on the pain and the grief my family has been through, everyday it has been a <u>HUGE</u> struggle <u>NOT</u> to focus on the pain. With God I can be greater than the things that are coming at me. I recently passed my Broker's Exam on October 26, 2021 and have applied to get my Real Estate Broker's License in South Carolina and will start the company "We Love Real Estate Co. with

www.WeLoveCharleston.com" for my daughter's future. God is helping me with this incredible pain of losing my child and Judge Forsythe Bearing False Witness against me, and with the pain and struggle I am still in everyday right now. Now I personally know how bearing false witness can be a huge pain just as someone who was wrongfully incarcerated for murder and after a long time was found to be innocent. Having this bearing of false witness against myself I cannot begin to tell you the hopelessness you feel or the struggle of wanting to give up. My counselor Dr. Kathleen Stringer has told me multiple times please do not give up hope Carlton. You are going to fighting for all those who could not fight and were wronged by the Family Court. ALL this will later in life be the area I am most gifted, anointed and equipped to do great things for the Citizens of South Carolina and the Public. I trust in Jesus and Love South Carolina. There is no way I could have picked these verses for this Commission to read. God made this perfectly clear to me with these verses. Believe it or not but this case was divine intervention because without it, NO changes to making the Public of South Carolina a better place for ALL future families in Family Court would have happened. Mainly, front and foremost I did not give up on my "Booger Boo" and daughter Rosalyn Charlise Walker also known as "Racin' Rosalyn" in the racing community with 230 WINS by age 10. With her goal to be the first woman NASCAR CHAMPION.

2 Corinthians 11:6 NIV "I may indeed be untrained as a speaker, but I do have knowledge. We have made this perfectly clear to you in every way." I am untrained as a lawyer, but I know right from wrong and ethics so <u>PLEASE</u> for the Great State of South Carolina and for the "Protection of the Public" <u>CHANGE</u> the Family Court...

I understand that the information I have provided herein is confidential and is not to be disclosed to anyone except the Judicial Merit Selection Commission, the candidate, and counsel.

WAIVER

I further understand that my testimony before the Judicial Merit Selection Commission may require the disclosure of information that would otherwise be protected by the attorney-client privilege. Therefore, in order that my complaint may be fully investigated by the Commission,

I hereby waive any right that I may have to raise the attorney-client privilege as that privilege may relate to the subject of my complaint only. I further understand that my waiving the attorneyclient privilege for this matter, I am authorizing the Commission to question other parties, including my attorney, concerning the facts and issues of my case.

Respectfully submitted,

Lee Carlton Walker SC Licensed Realtor/Contractor 8784 Auburn Drive North Charleston, SC. 29406 843-478-5980 lcarltonwalker@hotmail.com

Sworn to and subscribed before me

day of November

r the State of Commission Expires: 12/12